ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
JUN 1 2 1995

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of |) | Commonweal |
|---|---|---|
| Amendment of Parts 2 and 90 of the |) | PR Docket No. 89-553 |
| Commission's Rules to Provide for the |) | 1 K DOCKEL 110. 09-333 |
| Use of 200 Channels Outside the |) | |
| Designated Filing Areas in the |) | |
| 896-901 MHz and the 935-940 MHz Bands |) | |
| Allotted to the Specialized Mobile Radio Pool |) | , |
| T. 1 |) | / |
| Implementation of Section 309(j) |) | / |
| of the Communications Act - |) | PP Docket No. 93-253 |
| Competitive Bidding |) | |
| |) | |
| Implementation of Sections 3(n) and 332 |) | GN Docket No. 93-252 |
| of the Communications Act |) | |

REPLY COMMENTS GEOTEK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Geotek Communications, Inc. ("Geotek") hereby submits its Reply Comments to the Second Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making ("900 MHz NPRM") in the above captioned dockets (60 Fed. Reg. 21,987, May 4, 1995). Geotek filed comments in response to the 900 MHz NPRM and as such is a party to this proceeding. Geotek's reply comments are set forth below.

> No. of Copies rec'd ListABCDE

REPLY COMMENTS

I. Geotek Supports the Commission's Proposed Small Business Definition

Geotek supports the Commission's proposal that the definition of a small business to include entities (including minority and women owned entities) with less than \$3 million in average gross revenue for the preceding three years. The higher thresholds required for small businesses in the PCS context do not exist in the 900 MHz service. The capital requirements for entry into the 900 MHz market and the provision of SMR services are traditionally lower than other mobile services. Thus, it is less likely that small businesses will need Commission support through bidding credits, installment payments and reduced down payments in order to participate in the proposed auctions for this service.

Of the many commenters in this proceeding, only the U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA") and two commenters representing rural telephone companies, the Small Common Carrier Coalition ("SCCC") and the National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NCTA") object to the \$3 million

⁹⁰⁰ MHz NPRM at ¶¶ 135-139. Geotek supports the Commission's determination that different eligibility criteria for minority, and rural telephone and women-owned entities are not necessary. The barriers to entry in the 900 MHz SMR band have never prevented such entities from participating in the lotteries or entering the marketplace. Thus, the 900 MHz band enjoys a diverse mix of players consistent with Congress's objective and the Commission's need not carve out additional credits for such entities. Moreover, as the Commission notes in the 900 MHz NPRM, the \$3 million threshold will benefit these entities consistent with the statute. See 900 MHz NPRM at ¶ 135 and n.192.

dollar threshold. The SBA's proposal to increase the small business revenue threshold is largely based on its theory that large firms that are unsuccessful bidders in the 800 MHz auctions will migrate to 900 MHz. However, the SBA's theory is purely speculation. Further, it incorrectly assumes that the 800 MHz SMR channels are fungible with 900 MHz channels.

The two bands are technically different, have different licensing histories and not fungible. For example, in the 900 MHz band the Commission adopted a 12.5 kHz channeling plan rather than the 25 kHz plan applicable to 800 MHz SMRs. Further, 900 MHz licenses are assigned contiguous channels rather than separated as they are in the 800 MHz band with different spacing between the channels. Thus, the equipment and system design applicable to the 900 MHz and 800 MHz bands are different. Moreover, the Commission has taken an entirely different licensing approach in the two bands. The 900 MHz band has been licensed on a market basis (Designated Filing Areas) and the 800 MHz has been licensed on a licensee-defined service area. The different approaches have resulted in the two services being developed in entirely different manners.

With regard to SCCC and NCTA, both represent rural telephone companies. They both suggest higher thresholds for the small business definition. SCCC complains that capital requirements for rural telephone companies are much higher than those for urban telephone companies. However, SCCC has not

asserted that rural telephone companies will have any different requirements than others entering the 900 MHz service. Moreover, rural telephone companies will have advantages in the 900 MHz auctions that other bidders will not. As the Commission pointed out, because wireline restrictions have been eliminated, rural wireline providers can take advantage of their existing infrastructure in building out in the 900 MHz service. In addition, the proposed geographic partitioning will enable rural telephone companies to bid via consortia or acquire partitioned 900 MHz SMR licenses through negotiations among themselves.

Like the vast majority of commenters in this proceeding, Geotek supports the Commission's proposed \$3 million small business definition. The Commission's decision correctly balances the competing interests of small businesses in affording them opportunities to acquire licenses in the 900 MHz band and incumbent licensees who have invested substantial capital and resources in developing this band. The Commission's determination to define the small business threshold based on the characteristics of the band meets the objectives of Congress and the Commission's obligation to protect the public interest.

II. Geotek supports a 10% Bidding Credit Limited to Unencumbered 900 MHz Spectrum

Geotek agrees with the Commission's proposed 10% bidding credit for auctions in the 900 MHz SMR band. However, Geotek opposes

application of the bidding credit to occupied or encumbered 900 MHz spectrum.

Most of the commenters in this proceeding also agree with a cap of 10% on permissible bidding credits.

Throughout this proceeding the Commission has attempted to strike a balance between incumbent licensees and potential new MTA licensees.² Geotek has filed comments supporting Commission proposals that recognized incumbent's substantial investment and contribution to developing the 900 MHz band. Awarding bidding credits on encumbered spectrum unfairly prejudices incumbents in the auction process when bidding on the MTA frequencies associated with their licenses. Thus, Geotek recommends that no credits apply to encumbered spectrum.

Adoption of Geotek's recommended approach should satisfy the concerns of small businesses that are also incumbent licensees. Two commenters -- both small businesses and incumbent licensees -- advocated for a small business threshold higher than the 10% proposed by the Commission. The concern of incumbents is that allowing credits to be used in an auction for encumbered spectrum puts the incumbent on unequal footing when bidding for the MTA license. Moreover, it puts the incumbent's substantial financial investment in developing its existing license at risk. Thus, Geotek's recommended approach --

² See, e.g., 900 MHz NPRM at ¶ 135.

no bidding credits for encumbered spectrum, or alternatively, designated entities bidding against an incumbent get no greater credit than the incumbent -- would

satisfy the two commenters proposing a higher bidding credit for small business-

es.

CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reasons, Geotek respectfully requests that

the Commission adopt the \$3 million threshold for small businesses and limit the

availability of bidding credits to unencumbered spectrum.

Respectfully submitted by:

GEOTEK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Michael S. Hirsch

Vice President-External Affairs

1200 19th Street, #560

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 296-7390

Dated: June 12, 1995

6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gaston de Béarn, do hereby certify that on this 12th day of June, 1995, copies of the foregoing Reply Comments of Geotek Communications, Inc. were mailed, first class postage prepaid, to the following:

Eliot J. Greenwald, Esq.
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper & Leader
1255 23rd Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for Gulf Telephone
Company, et al.

Anthony W. Robinson, President Minority Business Enterprise Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc. 900 Second Street, N.E. Suite 8 Washington, D.C. 20002

David Cosson, Esq.
L. Marie Guillory, Esq.
National Telephone Cooperative Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Jere W. Glover, Esq.
Chief Counsel
Barry Pineles, Esq.
Assistant Chief Counsel
Office of Advocacy
United States Small Business
Administration
409 3rd Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20416

Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr., Esq. Brown and Schwaninger Suite 650 1835 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Counsel for Pro Tec Mobile Communications, Inc. Caressa D. Bennet, Esq.
Doroth E. Cukier, Esq.
Law Offices of Caressa D. Bennet
1831 Ontario Place, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20009
Counsel for Small Common
Carrier Coalition

Henry Goldberg, Esq.
Jonathan L. Wiener, Esq.
Daniel S. Goldberg, Esq.
Goldberg, Godles, Wiener &
Wright
1229 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for RAM Mobile Data USA
Limited Partnership

Thomas A. Hart, Jr., Esq.
Michael Heningburg, Jr., Esq.
McManimon & Scotland
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for the National Paging and Personal
Communications Association

Mary E. Brooner, Esq.
Manager, Wireless Regulatory Policy
Corporate Government Relations
Motorola, Inc.
1350 I Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20005

James L. Winston, Esq.
Rubin, Winston, Diercks, Harris & Cooke
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for the National Association of
Black Owned Broadcasters, Inc.

Loise E. Wright, Esq.
Vice President and Corporate Counsel
Inner City Broadcasting Corporation
Three Park Avenue
40th Floor
New York, N.Y. 10014
Counsel for the National Association of Black Owned
Broadcasters, Inc.

Alan R. Shark, President & CEO
American Mobile Telecommunications Association
1150 Eighteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036

Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esq.
Terry J. Romine, Esq.
Lukas McGowan Nace & Gutierrez,
Chartered
1111 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for American Mobile
Telecommunications Assocation,
Inc.

Shirley S. Fujimoto, Esq.
Bary J. Ohlson, Esq.
Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
Counsel for Southern California
on Company

Robert S. Foosaner
Senior Vice President Government
Affairs
Lawrence R. Krevor, Director
Government Affairs
Laura L. Holloway, General Attorney
Nextel Communications, Inc.
800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1001
Washington, D.C. 20006

Mark J. Golden
Vice President, Regulatory
Personal Communications Industry Association
1019 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

David E. Weisman, Esq.
Alan S. Tilles, Esq.
Meyer, Faller, Weisman and Rosenberg, P.C.
4400 Jenifer Street, N.W.
Suite 380
Washington, D.C. 20015
Counsel for Personal Communications
Industry Association

David J. Kaufman, Esq.
Lorretta K. Tobin, Esq.
Brown Nietert & Kaufman, Chartered
1920 N Street, N.W.
Suite 660
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for Celsmer

Gaston de Béarn